



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/512,884	02/25/2000	Toshiyuki Kashiwagi	000207	7754
23850 75	90 07/29/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			HUYNH, KIM T	
1725 K STREE' SUITE 1000	T, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	N. DC 20006		2112	

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	IN
1.	09/512,884	KASHIWAGI ET AL.	- 0
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kim T. Huynh	2112	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	<u>uly 2004</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E			is
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2000 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			. ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Applicat Frity documents have been receive Fu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	<b></b> .		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/512,884 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Receipt Acknowledgement

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the request filed on July 20, 2004 for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on the application No. 09/512884, which the request is acceptable and an RCE has been established. Currently, claims 1-9 are pending in this application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clancy et al. (US Patent 5,168,427)

As per claim 1, Clancy discloses an electronic apparatus to which an input device is connected, comprising:

- A main unit(14);
- A display unit(32) rotatably attached to the main unit(14); and
- A retaining mechanism retaining at least one portion of the input device into a space which is formed by between the display unit and the main unit when the input device is not used, wherein the input device is completely detachable from the space. (wherein pin 46, latch assembly 54), (fig.3,

Application/Control Number: 09/512,884

Art Unit: 2112

Page 3

col.3, lines 3-16, release the lock input device pop up from space and by removing the pin 46 the input device 10 is completely detachable)

As per claim 2, Clancy discloses wherein the retaining mechanism comprises at least one supporting member (80).

As per claims 3, 5, Clancy discloses wherein the retaining mechanism further comprises a supporting member (80) is mounted so as to be storable in the main unit when the input device is not being stored.

As per claim 4, Clancy discloses wherein the retaining mechanism comprises an engaging member (46) engaging the main unit(14) and the input device(10). As per claim 7, Clancy discloses an input device 10 detachably connected to an electric apparatus having a display unit 32 rotatably attached to a main unit 14, the input device comprising at least one engaging member engaging the main unit so that at least one position of the input device is retained into a space which is formed between the display unit and the main unit, wherein the input device is completely detachable from the space. (wherein pin 46, latch assembly 54, fig.3, col.3, lines 3-16, release the lock input device pop up from space and by removing the pin 46 the input device 10 is completely detachable)

- A main unit(14);
- A keyboard (10) detachable from the main unit;
- A display unit (32) rotatably attached to the main unit, and

As per claim 8, Clancy discloses an electronic apparatus, comprising:

Art Unit: 2112

A supporting member (80) rotatably mounted to the main unit to hold the keyboard against the main unit when the supporting member is lowered from the main unit and the keyboard is mounted on the supporting member, wherein when the keyboard is mounted on the supporting member and the display unit is rotated down, the keyboard 10 is disposed in a space between the display unit 32 and the main unit 14, wherein the input device is completely removable from the space. (wherein pin 46, latch assembly 54), (fig.3, col.3, lines 3-16, release the lock input device pop up from space and by removing the pin 46 the input device 10 is completely detachable)

As per claim 9, Clancy discloses a main unit used for an electronic apparatus to which an input device is connected, the electronic apparatus having a display unit (32) rotatably attached to the main unit(14), the main unit comprising a support member (80), wherein the support member projects from a from surface of the main unit into a space formed between the display unit (32) and the main unit, so that at least one portion of the input device is retained into the space when the input device is not used, and wherein the input device is completely detachable from the space. (wherein pin 46, latch assembly 54), (fig.3, col.3, lines 3-16, release the lock input device pop up from space and by removing the pin 46 the input device 10 is completely detachable)

Application/Control Number: 09/512,884 Page 5

Art Unit: 2112

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clancy et al. (US Patent 5,168,427) in view of Kimura et al. (US Patent 6,108,716)

Clancy discloses all the limitations as above except an electronic apparatus further comprising detector detecting each of a storage and a removal operation of the input device and controlling predetermined operation of the electronic apparatus according to signal of detector. However, Kimura discloses detect sensor which detects keyboard is mounted on the system, terminals which send or receive signals to or from terminal for keyboard of system. (col.10, lines 24-42)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Kimura's teaching into Clancy's method so as to provide a data processing unit which stabilizes the system, with the keyboard detached from the system regardless of the position of the display unit with respect to the system. (col.1, lines 45-50)

### Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's amendment filed on 7/14/04 have been fully considered but are not place application in condition for allowance.

Art Unit: 2112

a. In response to applicant's argument that Clancy does not disclose or suggest that the input device can be completely detached from the space. As Clancy notes at (col.4, lines 56- col.5, line 7) figure 5, pin 46, as broadly claimed, the input device 10 is completely detachable from the space by removing the pin (46).

Thus, the prior art teaches the invention as claimed and the amended claims do not distinguish over the prior art as applied.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (703)305-5384 or via e-mail addressed to [kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM- 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov]. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-5631.

Kim Huynh

July 20, 2004

MARK H. PINEHART TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100